A Data Collection System for Cleft Lip and Palate: I. Surgical Evaluation

RALPH MILLARD, M.D GEORGE BALBER, D.D.S. BETTY W. PHILIPS, D.Ed.

Miami, Florida

There have been numerous attempts to classify clefts of the lip, alveolus and palate (1, 2, 3). To avoid becoming cumbersome, however, general classification systems omit detail essential to the accurate description of individual variations. Previous classifications, for instance, have ignored entire areas such as the nose, which, often being involved, must be included. Furthermore, no two clefts are identical and treatment must be modified constantly to deal adequately with such variation. Thus it is essential, for a scientific evaluation of the final effects of specific therapy, to record a detailed base line before treatment is begun and to maintain as well a careful periodical re-evaluation.

In a comprehensive longitudinal study of the individual with a cleft now in progress at the South Florida Cleft Palate Clinic, data collection forms are being developed in the various areas of interest. These forms have the dual function of providing research information as well as clinical orientation for the examiner. In addition, the forms are designed so that information from them is readily transferable for computer handling. At the present time, the recorded data can be transferred directly to punch cards and, with slight modification, these forms could be adapted for optical scanners. The use of the high speed electronic computer for data storage, retrieval, and analysis will make possible the investigation of many complex interrelationships which have eluded us in the past.

In this program, three forms have been developed for use by the plastic surgeon. These consist of a) an Initial (Pre-operative) Record, b) a Continuing Evaluation (for all post-operative patients), and c) a Report of Surgery Performed. (The three forms are presented at the end of this paper.)

Dr. Millard is Associate Clinical Professor in Plastic Surgery, University of Miami. He is Senior Plastic Surgeon, Dr. Balber is Director, and Dr. Philips is formerly Associate Director, of the South Florida Cleft Palate Clinic.

This paper is supported in part by PHS Grant DE-01836, National Institute of Dental Research and is an amplification of a paper read at the annual meeting of the American Cleft Palate Association, New York City, May, 1965.

Validation

At first sight these forms may seem laboriously extensive, but it is surprising how quickly they can be completed and how simply and effectively they serve as data collectors once the examiner has become familiar with the mechanics of the form. The forms have been developed and tested over the past three years. During that time they have been used, in various revisions, for the examination of several hundred patients. In addition, efforts have been made to determine reliability and validity of several items on the forms. These efforts have involved seven surgeons and forty-seven patients. Each patient was examined by two or more surgeons working independently of each other during the same clinic visit. The evaluations recorded by each surgeon were then tabulated and compared, item by item. The results which we are reporting at the present time refer only to the form for Surgical Evaluation. The number of unoperated patients examined during this validation study (six) was too small a basis for a report on the Initial Record. However, many of the judgments required by both the Initial Record form and the Surgical Evaluation form are similar and it seems reasonable to assume that whatever pattern would emerge from a study of the responses to one form could be expected to apply to the other. The Report of Surgery Performed is to be used by the operating surgeon and entered into the patient's history. It therefore does not lend itself very well to the validation procedures described here. We also expect that this

TABLE 1. Percentage of agreement for a number of items from the Surgical Evaluation form.

			item ni	ımber (S	Surgical Ev	aluation .	form)	
% of agree- ment	# of items	Nose	Upper lip	Lower lip	Alveolus	Hard palate	Soft palate	Oro- phar- ynx
100	8	1a	1b, 5,		2a, 2c(1)		1a, 6	
90-99	14	1b, 7, 9b	la	1	1a, 3b, 4	1a, 2, 4a	1c, 1e,	
80-89	14	3, 8	1a		2b, 2c(2), 2c(3), 3a	1b	2, 1b, 1f	
70–79	11	2a, 4, 6, 9a, 10, 11	6, 7, 11		1b		1d	
60-69	3						4, 7	1
50 - 59	1		8					
40-49	1						5	

TABLE 2. Items from the Surgical Evaluation form on which there was less than 80% agreement.

nose	
item 3: Alar cartilage dislocation at tip.	
Agreement on presence 84	4%
Agreement on severity 42	2%
$upper\ lip$	
item 4: Notching.	
Agreement on presence 82	2%
	7%
item 8: Muscle function.	
Complete agreement 54	4%
All disagreements are within one point differ-	
ence on rating scale.	
$soft \ palate$	
item 1b: Judgment of congenital shortness.	
Agreement 78	5%
item 4: Adequacy of length.	
Agreement on presence or absence of adequacy 88	8%
•	7%
item 5: Assessment of levator activity.	
Complete agreement 45	5%
item 7: Scarring.	
1 3	2%
(All disagreements for items 5 and 7 were one point apar	rt on the rating
scale.)	
oropharynx	
item 1: Rating of velopharyngeal closure.	
Complete agreement 67	7%

(This is a rating of speech by the plastic surgeon. The inference that a more objective test was needed has prompted the addition of the mirror test for nasal emission to the evaluation. More definitive evaluation in this area is in the province of the speech pathologist.)

form would be the one most subject to amendment as newer surgical procedures are perfected and gain wider usage.

Agreements on various items appearing in the Surgical Evaluation form are presented in Table 1.

It seems apparent that many of the judgments which the plastic surgeon must make are necessarily subjective and are the products of his prior training, experience, and level of his standards. Complete agreement on all the items could not then be expected and would indeed be highly suspect of either collaboration by the examiners or failure of the form to require significant judgments. The relatively high degree of agreement indicated above was gratifying. Agreement of 80% or more was reached on 69% of the 52 items on which a sufficient number of judgments had been made to justify the reporting of results.

A detailed study of the items on which there was less than 80% agreement revealed that, at times, a high level of agreement could be reached

on the presence or absence of a specific abnormality, but not on its severity. It was also difficult to reach agreement on judgments of function. The areas presenting these difficulties are reported in Table 2.

The Surgical Evaluation form presented here represents the most recent revision as a result of the study being reported. It contains four items which are new and therefore have not been validated. They are: nose, items 2b, 2c, and 5; and alveolus, item 2c (4).

It is important to note that in order to obtain the maximum utility in the use of these forms, the directions given must be followed carefully and all items must be checked as indicated. This is especially critical if the forms are to be used for data processing in the near or distant future.

Summary

A clinical evaluation system for the use of the plastic surgeon has been presented. The format permits the use of this system for data collection purposes and is designed so that it is amenable to data processing. These forms have been tested clinically and a satisfactory degree of reliablity has been obtained. The forms, as presented here, incorporate changes which have been suggested as a result of the clinical trials. They are now available for use by other centers with the hope that they may serve as a basis for the evolution of a more standardized system of evaluating the cleft lip/palate individual. Other forms for use by other specialties are in the process of development. They follow a similar format so that they can be incorporated into a comprehensive system.

reprints: Dr. George Balber Variety Children's Hospital 6125 S. W. 31st Street Miami, Florida 33155

Acknowledgments: Our special appreciation for the invaluable suggestions and assistance of the following plastic surgeons during the formulation and trials of these forms: Drs. John Batstone, David Maisels, and Gilbert Snyder. Statistical and data processing consultants were Drs. Dean J. Clyde and C. Lee Philips. The participation of the following surgeons in the validation procedures is gratefully acknowledged: Drs. Thomas Baker, Robert Dickey, Robert Miller, Eric Peet, and Thomas Zaydon.

References

 Kernahan, D. A., and Stark, R. B., A new classification for cleft lip and cleft palate. Plastic reconstr. Surg., 22, 435-441, 1958.

HARKINS, C. S., BERLIN, A., HARDING, R. L., LONGACRE, J., AND SNODGRASSE, R., Report of the nomenclature committee. Cleft Palate Bull., 9, 39-44, 1959.

3. Stark, R. B., Congenital Anomalies of the Face and Associated Structures. Pruzansky, S., ed., Springfield: Charles C Thomas, pp. 66-84, 1961.

South Florida Cleft Palate Clinic

Variety Children's Hospital and Research Foundation
Cleft Palate Research Study

N.I.D.R. DE - 01836

COPYRIGHT 1966

SURGERY INITIAL EXAMINATION PRE-OPERATIVE

(To be used for evaluation before the initiation of ANY operative procedures)

PA	TIENT'S N	AM E								BI R	THDAT	E	
DA	TE												
1.	Status:	2	NOSE 3	4	5	CARD 1	7. 7a.	Colume	lla posit 1 Straig	2 ht Slight		dly Absen	t
	Normal	R. Cleft Unilat.	L. Cleft Unilat.	Cleft Bilat.	Cleft Median	(13)			1	Deviat 2	ed Devia		(28)
2.	Alar base: Width:	1 Normal	2 Flaring	3 Contracted			7Ь.		Norn	nal Retrac	tion Protr		(29)
	Pt's. right Pt's. left Antero-post		2	3		(14) (15)	8.	Septum 1 Straight	(anterior 2 Slightly	portion) 3 Slightly	: 4 Markedly	5 Markedly	6 Absent
20.	position: Pt's, right	Normal	_	Posteriorly Displaced		(16)			Deviated To Right	Deviated To Left	Deviated To Right	Deviated To Left	☐ (30)
2c.	Pt's, left Infero-super position:	ior 1 Normal	2 Inferiarly Displaced	3 Superiorly Displaced		(17)	9.	Anterio	1 Norma	2	3		(31)
	Pt's. right Pt's. left					(18) (19)		Pt's. le					(32)
3.	Pt's. right	None S	2 3 light Model	3 4 rate Sever	•	(20)	1.	Status: 1 Normal	2 R. Cleft Unilat.	3 L. Cleft Unilat.	Cleft Bilat.	5 Cleft Median	(33)
4.	Pt's, left Alar arch w	eb (skin			arch):	(21)	2.	Description of Cleft	on (Vertical	Extent)			
	Pt's. right Pt's. left	Absent	2 Present	<u>~</u>	•	(22) (23)		righ left medi	t 📙	\frac{2}{1/3}	, 3 (2/3 	√ 3/3 □ □	5 Complete (34) (35) (36)
6.	Nasal floor	1 Normal	2 Constricted	3 of	la to ins alar bas	e):		Alar base	•		left		_mm (37) _mm (38)
	Pt's. right Pt's. left					(24) (25)	L L	lidth at v ip Elem	widest po ents :	int betw	een right left		_mm (39) _mm (40)
6.	Columella	length:	2	3	4 .		3. i	Prolabium	:(bilater	2	3	. 4	
	Pt's. right		Moderately Short	Very Short	Absent	(26)	7" 6	Assessmen of Tissue		Medium	Small 2	None	_ (41)
(RE	Pt's. left					(27)	, 1	of Lateral Lip Eleme Right Left		Adeq	Mod. De- ficient	Severely Deficient	(42) (43)

SURGERY, INITIAL EXAMINATION, PRE-OPERATIVE (Cont'd.)

		LOWI	ER LIP						н	ARD PAL	ATE			٠
							1.	Status:						
1.	Status:	1 Normal	2 Congen.							1 Normal	2 Cleft	3 Cleft		
		Normai	Abnormal									Submucous	(55)	
						(44)	2.	Description						
	Note:_							of Cleft: Antero-post	+					
								Extent	1 (1/3	2 2/3	₹ 3/3	4		
											Ĭď.	Complete	(56)	
		MAN	DIBLE					Width at ju		of hard			(57-58)	
1.	Status:	1	2	3			3.	Yault Cont	•	····				
		Apparently	Mod. licrognathic	Mark .				Right Palat	tine	1	2	3		
		Normal N				(45)		Shelf		High	Medium	Low	(50)	
								Left Palatir	ne :				(59)	
		AL V	EOLUS					Shelf Intact Vaul	14				(60)	
		AL V	EOLUS				4						(61)	
1.	Status:	. 1	2				4.	Vomer Reid	1 .	2	3	4		
		Normal	Cleft □			(46)			Attach. Right	Attach. Left	Attach. Bilat.	Unattach. Bilat.		
 2.	Description			Extent):					only	only			(62)	
•	De sempiron .	1	2	3										
		None	Incomplete or Notch	Complete					S	OFT PALA	TE			
	Right					(47)	1.	Status:		1	2	3		
	Left					(48)				Normal	Cleft	Cleft Submucous		
	Median					(49)							(63)	
3.	Premaxilla:	1 .	2	3	4 .		2.	Description of Cleft:						
	_	None	Slight	Moderate	Marked	(50)		Ant-Post,						
	Protrusion Retraction					(50) (51)		Extent 1	2 d		4	5		
	Rotation	ш	Ц	Ц				Uvul		/3 〈 2/	3 ⟨ 3/:	3 Compl.	(64)	
	to Pt's. right to Pt's. left					(52) (53)		Width at		ب ،	J			
_			Aluston			(30)		Widest Poi	int			<u>l</u> mm	(65-66)	
4.	Alignment of	Latera:	Alveidr 3	egments: 3	4		3.	Evaluation of Length:						
		Normal		Collapsed				=	l Adeq.	2 Slightly	3 Mod.	4 Markedly		
			Rìght □	Left □	Bilaterall:	(54)				Short	Short	Short 🗌	(67)	
							4.	Evaluation						
								of Levator						
								Activity:	1	2	3	4		
									Good	Fair	Poor	Cannot Evalu	ate (68)	
									0	ROPHARY	'NX			
							1.	Evaluation						
							1	of Lateral Muscular						
								Activity:	,	•				
							l		1 Good	2 Fair	3 Poor	Cannot Evalu		
													(69)	
							ī							_



South Florida Cleft Palate Clinic Variety Children's Hospital and Research Foundation Cleft Palate Research Study

N.I.D.R. DE - 01836

COPYRIGHT 1966

PATIENT'S NAMEAGE	
-------------------	-------------

DATE OF EVALUATION_

SURGICAL EVALUATION

(To be used for initial examination of post-operative cases, and continuing evaluations following initial visit in all cases.)

If the entire area (e.g. Nose) is normal it is indicated by checking outlined box, all other boxes for that area may be left blank. If outlined box is not checked then one box in each horizontal line must be checked.

		N	OSE										
1 a.		1	2				7.	Nasal floo		(pass pr	obe):		
		Normal	Abnor		(13) Card 1	I		Pt's. right Pt's. left	l Absent	Present			(29) (30)
16.	Status:	l Post-Op. □	2 Un•Oper• □			(14)	8.	Columello	length: 1 Adequate	2 Moderate	3 ly Very	4 Absent	
2.	Alar base:	1	2	3				Pt's. right		Short	Short		(31)
2a.	Width: Pt's. right Pt's. left	Normal	Flaring	Contracte	d	(15)	9.	Pt's. left				<u> </u>	(32)
2Ь.	Antero-posteri	ior 1 Normal	2 Anteriorly	3 Posterior	•	(16)	9a.	20.0	1 Straight	2 Slightly Deviated	3 Markedly Deviated	4 Absent	
2c.	Pt's. right Pt's. left Infero-superio		Displaced	Displace		(17) (18)	9 b.		1 Normal	2 Retraction	3 Protrusion	. I	(33)
	Pt's. right Pt's. left	Normal	Inferiorly Displaced	Superiorl Displace	•	(19) (20)	10.	•	interior por 2	ortion):	4 rkedly Ma		6 Absent
3.		1	ation at t 2 3 ght Moder		1	f tip):						viated Left	(35)
-) [(21) (22)	11.	Anterior	ve sti bul a	r airway 2	(nasal ap	erture) :	
4.	Alar arch we	b (skin f l Absent	fold acros 2 Present		·			Pt's, right Pt's, left	Normal	Large	Constricted	ł	(36) (37)
	Pt's. right Pt's. left			(nà	.)	(23) (24)	la.		<u> </u>	JPPER	LIP 2		
5.	Alar arch bu	ckling: 1 Absent	2 Present						Norn		Abnormal	(38)	
	Pt's. right Pt's. left					(25) (26)	1 Б.	Status:	l Post-Op. □	2 Un•Oper∙ □			(39)
6.	Nasal floor v	1	om side o 2 Constricted	3	ella to insi of alar bas		2.	Horizonta dimension	l 1	2	3 Severely		(07)
	Pt's. right Pt's. left					(27) (28)				Tight	Tight		(40)
(RI	EVISED 7/66)			-			ļ						

3.	Vertical 1 2 3		2. Premaxilla:	
	dimension: Adequate Short Long	(41)	1 2 3 2a. Status: Present Congen.Absent Excised	
	Pt's. right	(41)	Zu. Sidius: Present Congent Absent Excised	0)
	Pt's. left 🔲 🗎	(42)	1 2	٠,
4.	Notching: 1 2 3		2b. Mobility: Stable Unstable	
	None Vermilion Skin & Verm.		□ □ (6	1)
	Pt's, right	(43)	1 2 3 4	
	Pt's, left	(44)	2c. Position: None Slight Moderate Marked	٥,
	midline 🔲 🔲	(45)		2)
5.	Cupid's bow: 1 2 3		(2.) Retraction:	3)
	Present Present Absent		(3) Rotation: to Pt's. right (6)	4)
	Symmetrical Asymmetrical			55)
		(46)	to Pt's. left LI II II II (6) (4.)Infero-superior position:	,,,
6.	Philtrum dimple:		1 2 3	
•-	1 2		Normal Inferiorly Superiorly	
	Present Absent		Displaced Displaced	
		(47)		6)
7.	Condition of 1 2		3. Lateral segments: (check rt. & lft.)	
••	scar: Acceptable Unacceptable		1 2	
		(48)	Normal Collapsed	
			a. Pt's. right 🗆 🗎 (6	57)
8.	Muscle function: 1 2 3			(8
	Good Fair Poor □ □ □	(49)	1 2	
			b. Bowed: No Yes Right Left	
9	Post-Op. posi- 1 2 3			59) 70)
	tion of prola- In In Lip & In Lip		Pt's. left □ □ □ □ □ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	70)
	bium: Columella Columella	(50)	4. Cleft or Fistula (Pass a Probe):	
			1 2 3 4 5 6	
10.	Free border balance of vermilion:		None Notching Cleft Cleft Fistula Fistula	
	1 2 3		⟨5mm ⟩5mm ⟨5mm ⟩5mm	771
	Normal Thin Thick Pt's right □ □ □	(51)	Pt's. right \(\Bigcap \) \(\	
	Pt's. right	(52)	Pt's. left 🔲 🗎 🗎 🗎	
	midline	(53)	Median	ard 2 (13)
11.	Vermilion eversion (Lower border of upper lip):		5. Bone graft: 1 2 3 4	
	1 2		None Right Left Median	1.41
	California II callafornia			14)
	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	(54)		
	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	(54)	HARD PALATE	
		(54)	HARD PALATE	
		(54)	HARD PALATE	
	LOWER LIP	(54)	HARD PALATE	
1.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3	(54)	HARD PALATE 1 2 Normal Abnormal	
1.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal Abnormal	(54)	HARD PALATE 1 2 Normal Abnormal (Congenital or operated)	
1.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)	(54)	HARD PALATE 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated)	
	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)		HARD PALATE 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated)	
1.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)		HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated) 1b. Status: 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Complete partial No closure	
	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 Abnormal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op- Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure closure	16)
2.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)		HARD PALATE 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated) 1 b. Status: 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure closure	16)
	Cower Lip Cower Lip	(55)	HARD PALATE 1 2 Normal Abnormal (Congenital or operated) (15) 1b. Status: 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op- Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure closure	16)
2.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal Abnormal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 About Abnormal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure closure closure 1 2 3 4 5 6	16)
2.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated) 1b. Status: 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure 1 2 3 4 Antpost. extent of present cleft: 1 2 3 4 5 None Submucous (1/3 (2/3 (3/3 Complete))	16)
2.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal Congenital or operated) Congenital or operated or operated Congenital or operated or operat	
2.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1a. 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated) 1b. Status: 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Complete partial No closure 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Un-Oper. (Complete partial No closure c	16)
2.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a.	
3.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal Abnormal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 Abnormal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure closure closure 1 2 3 4 5 6 None Submucous (1/3 (2/3 (3/3 Complete bony cleft	17)
2.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal (Congenital or operated) (15) 1b. Status: 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure closure closure closure closure closure (15) 2. Antpost. extent of present cleft: 1 2 3 4 5 6 None Submucous (1/3 (2/3 (3/3) Complete bony cleft (15) (17) (17)
3.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal Abnormal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 Abnormal (Congenital or operated) 1 2 3 4 Post-Op. Post-Op. Post-Op. Un-Oper. complete partial No closure closure closure closure 1 2 3 4 5 6 None Submucous (1/3 (2/3 (3/3 Complete bony cleft	17)
3.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1a. 1 2 Normal Abnormal (Congenital or operated)	17)
3. 1a.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1	17)
3. 1a.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1	17) (18) (19) (21)
3. 1a.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1	17) (18) (19) (21) (23)
3. 1a.	LOWER LIP Status: 1 2 3 Normal Abnormal (Congenital) (Operated)	(55)	HARD PALATE 1	17) 18) 1: (19) 21) 23)

FO	RM 23, CON	T'D.					i						
		SOFT	PALAT	E					ORC	PHARYN	X		
la.		l Normal	2 Abnorr		(28)		1.	Velopharyng 1 Good	2 Fair	3 Poor	4 None Co	5 Innot Evaluate	
16.	Status:	l Post-Op. complete	2 Post-Op. partial	3 Post-Op. No closure	4 Un-Oper.		2.	Pharyngeal	flap:	2 Wide	3 Narrow		(46
lc.	Submucous (lack of musc across midling		closure 2 Yes			(29)		Based superiorly Based inferiorly			Attachmer	nt	(47 (48
1 d.	Congenitally Short					(31)							
le,	Paralyzed (ne	urologic	basis):										
	Pt's. right Pt's. left	1 No 	2 Partially	3 Completel	у	(32) (33)							٠.
1 fl.	Immobilized (
	Pt's. right Pt's. left	No	2 Partially	3 Completely		(34) (35)							
2.	Extent of pro	i Ivula <1.	3 .4	/3 <3/	3 Con	s nplete (36)							
3.	Width of pre	sent clet	ft: 2 Small	3 Medium	4 Large	(37)							
4.	Length: Pt's. right Pt's. left	l Adequate	2	3 Moderately Short	4								
5.	Assessment	of levat	or activit	y (say "A	h'' an d								
		1 2 ood Fai		4 None	5 Cannot Ev	aluate							
	Pt's. right [Pt's.left [3 5				(40) (41)		arti G					
6.	Fistula: Anterior Middle Posterior	1 None	2 Present small <5mm	3 Present large > 5mm		(42) (43) (44)							
7.	Scarring:	1 None	2 Minimum	3 Moderate	4 Severe	(45)							

South Florida Cleft Palate Clinic Vuriety Children's Hospital and Research Foundation Cleft Palate Research Study

DO	NO	T FI	LL I	N
(1-4)	(5-6)	(7)	(8-9)	(10-11)
	24			
Case#	Form	Yr.	Mo.	Day

	RIGHT 1966 REPORT	OF SURGICA	L PROCE	DURES		
PAT	IENT'S NAME					
DAT	E OF SURGICAL PROCEDURE					
	PLEASE	CHECK PRO	CEDURES U	SED:		
		LIP				
1.	Procedures Used:		1 Primary One Stage	2 Primary Two Stag e	3 Secondary	
b. c. d. e. f. g. h.	Adhesion (Johanson). Quadrilateral Flap (LeMesurier-Hagedorn) Z-Plasty (Tennison-Randall): Straight Line Closure (Rose-Thompson): Triangle Flap (Mirault-Blair-Brown): Rotation Advancement (Millard): Lateral Full Thickness Flaps Below Prol Lateral Muscle Elements Joined Behind I (Shultz): Partial Columella Lengthening (Skoog):	abium (Feders			000000 001	(13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (19) (20) (21)
i٠	Forked Flap (Millard):	,] 1 Primary	2 Secondary		(22)
k.	Full Thickness Lower Lip Flap (Abbe):	1. 2 3.	Unilateral Small	Midline Large		(24)
i.	Full Thickness Lower Lip Free Graft:	1. 2. 3	Primary Unilateral Small	Secondary Midline Large		(26) (27) (28)
	Notes:					
	MA	XILLARY ST	ructures	3		
1. a.	Procedures Used: . Soft Tissue closure of Alveolus without	bone graft:	l Primary	2 Secondary		(29)
ь.	. Alveolar Bone Graft:	1. 2	Primary Unilateral One Stage	Secondary Bilateral Two Stage		(30) (31)
		3				(32)

c.	Premaxilla:	1	2 Partial	
	1. Resection	Total		(33)
	2. Pin Fixation	1 Yes	2 No 	(34)
d.	Vomer:	.1	2	
	Wedge Excision:	Yes	N° □	(35)
e.	Hard Palate Closure (check procedure used):			
•	 Vomerian Flap (Veau) Muco-periosteal flap (Von Langenbeck) Muco-periosteal flap (Wardill): Nasal-mucosal flap Lip mucosal flap (Burian) 			(36) (37) (38) (39) (40)
	Notes:			
	SOFT PALAT			
 1.	Procedures Used:	1	2	
a. b. c. d. e. f.	Lateral relaxing incisions (Von Langenbeck): V-Y Advancement (Wardill): Pushback (Dorrance): Hard Palate Nasal Mucosal Advancement (Cronin): Island Flap to Nasal Lining for Lengthening (Millard): Free Skin Graft (Dorrance): Z-Plasty to Nasal Layer (Kilner):	Initial	Secondary	(41) (42) (43) (44) (45) (46) (47)
11.	Adjuncts to Surgery:	, 00		
ь. с. d.	Division of Neurovascular Bundles: Dissection of Neurovascular Bundles: Division of Hard from Soft Palate: Fracture of the Hamulus Ostectomy			(48) (49) (50) (51) (52)
111.	Areas Left Raw: 1. Nasal hard palate 2. Oral Hard Palate 3. Nasal Lining defect following pushback	1 Yes 	2 No 	(53) (54) (55)
	Notes:			
	NOSE			
c. d. f. g. h.	Procedures Used: Submucous resection Cartilage Strut to Columella and Tip Forkod Flap (Millard) Midline prolabium flap (Gensoul) Alar columella advancement (Cronin) Alar cartilage graft (Musgrave) Alar cartilage lift (Horton) Alar web excision (Kilner) Alar web Z-Plasty (Straith)	000000 DOC		(56) (57) (58) (59) (60) (61) (62) (63) (64)

290 Millard, Balber, Philips

NOSE cont'd.		. 1	
k. Bone graft under al I. Alar wedge excisio m. Nasal floor revision Notes:	n: 1. Right 2. Left	Yes 	(66) (67) (68) (69)
	PHARYNX		
c. Post-pharyngeal fla d. Transverse incision e. Foreign body impla	ıl flap (sup. base) (Roselli) p (inf. base) (Rosenthal) closed longitudinally (Wardill)		(70) (71) (72) (13) (14)